

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/977,084

**Applicant(s)**

UHLER, G. MICHAEL

**Examiner**

Eric Coleman

**Art Unit**

2183

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Eric Coleman.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David C. Issacson (Reg.No. 38,500).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: August 15, 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 28, 31, 37 and 39.

Identification of prior art discussed: NA.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Counsel and Examiner discussed the claims and both agreed to comments to clarify the scope of the claimed computer readable storage medium to cure possible 35 U.S.C. 101 problems to be implemented by way of examiners amendment to put the case in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eric Coleman/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2183